1	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP		
2	KAREN G. JOHNSON-MCKEWAN (SBN 121	1570)	
	kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com ANNETTE L. HURST (SBN 148738)		
3	ahurst@orrick.com		
	GABRIEL M. RAMSEY (SBN 209218)		
4	gramsey@orrick.com		
5	405 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: 1.415.773.5700 / Fax: 1.415.773.5759		
	PETER A. BICKS (pro hac vice)		
6	pbicks@orrick.com		
_	LISA T. SIMPSON (pro hac vice)		
7	lsimpson@orrick.com 51 West 52 nd Street, New York, NY 10019		
8	Tel: 1.212.506.5000 / Fax: 1.212.506.5151		
	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP		
9	DAVID BOIES (pro hac vice)		
10	dboies@bsfllp.com		
10	333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504		
11	Tel: 1.914.749.8200 / Fax: 1.914.749.8300 STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177)		
12	sholtzman@bsfllp.com		
12	1999 Harrison St., Ste. 900, Oakland, CA 94612		
13	Tel: 1.510.874.1000 / Fax: 1.510.874.1460		
14	ORACLE CORPORATION		
14	14 DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)		
15	dorian.daley@oracle.com DEBORAH K. MILLER (SBN 95527)		
	deborah.miller@oracle.com		
16	MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (SBN 211600)		
17	matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com		
1/	RUCHIKA AGRAWAL (SBN 246058) ruchika.agrawal@oracle.com		
18	500 Oracle Parkway,		
19	Redwood City, CA 94065		
19	Tel: 650.506.5200 / Fax: 650.506.7117		
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
21	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.		
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
22	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
23			
24	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,	Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA	
_	Plaintiff,	ORACLE'S STATEMENT RE	
25	v.	AMENDMENT OF JUDGMENT	
26	GOOGLE INC.,		
	Defendant.		
27			

28

Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 2050 Filed 08/25/16 Page 2 of 2

1	As directed by the Court (ECF 2036), the parties met and conferred regarding amendment	
2	of the Final Judgment (ECF 1989) pursuant to Rule 52(c). Oracle's view, and it understands that	
3	Google agrees, is that Rule 52(c)—which covers findings after nonjury trials—has no application	
4	here.	
5	Oracle further contends that no amendment of the Final Judgment is otherwise warranted	
6	absent a decision by the Court to vacate the judgment in light of Oracle's pending motions	
7	pursuant to Rules 50(b) and 59. ECF Nos. 1993, 1997. As the Court has previously ruled (ECF	
8	Nos. 1479 and 1781), it dismissed all of Oracle's other allegations without prejudice and Oracle	
9	retains the right to file new proceedings on those allegations.	
10	This statement is without prejudice to and without waiver of any claim of error.	
11	Dated: August 25, 2016 Respectfully submitted,	
12	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	
13	By: /s/ Annette L. Hurst Annette L. Hurst	
14	Counsel for ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	
15	Counsel for ORACLE AWERICA, INC.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	A	